INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

St Paul District

| FILE NUMBER: | | | 0 | 3-07051-DJ | <u>P</u> | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|--|
| REGULATORY PROJECT | MANAGE | R: | <u>D</u> | ale J. Pfeif | <u>fle</u> | | Date: Oc | tober 22, 20 | 104 | |
| PROJECT REVIEW/DETE | | | IPLETEI | | the office <u>Y</u> the project s | | | | _ | |
| State: County: Center coordinates 88.2052749078786W Approximate size of Name of waterway SITE CONDITIONS: | | | 2 acres | esha 2034190666N, | | | | | | |
| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | icet | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | | If Known | | If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | Yes | No | Predicted to Occur | Not Expected to Occur | Not Able To Make Determination | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | X | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? | | | X | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | X | | | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | | | X | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Other water (identify type)

DISTRICT OFFICE:

Preliminary Or Approved X.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): An application was received for the installation of 4-aerial transmission line structures within 4-separate wetland complexes. A review a wetland delineation report, USGS quadrangles, and local topographic maps indicated that 2 of the impacted wetlands were confined to isolated depressions and are not adjacent to waters of the US. The project would temporarily impact approximately 0.218 acre of the isolated wetlands and would permanently impact 0.002 of the isolated wetlands.

The other two impacted wetlands within the utility line corridor are tributary to the Fox River, a navigable water of the US and therefore, subject to Corps Sec. 404 jurisdiction.